

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/673,445 | 09/30/2003 | Tomoyuki Mishima | 109222.01 | 2615 |
| 25944 73 | 590 04/07/2005 | | . EXAMINER | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC | | VORTMAN, ANATOLY | | |
| P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALEXANDIA | n, VA 22320 | • | 2835 | |

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/5/05.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

1. The submission of the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. 1.111 filed on 02/25/05 is acknowledged. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended. Claims 1-3 are pending in the instant application

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over IDS reference US/5,619,036 to Salvio et al., (Salvio) taken with IDS reference EP/0751041 to Furuta et al., (Furuta) and with US/3,784,970 to Simpkin.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Salvio disclosed (Fig. 1, 2) an apparatus for watching around a vehicle, the apparatus mounted thereon, the apparatus comprising: an image pick-up unit (10) for picking up an image on the front end portion of the vehicle, the image pick-up unit (10) fitted to an opening of a grille (12) formed outside a vehicular radiator and a fan (the radiator and the fan are not shown, but inherently present); a display unit (587, 1030) for displaying the image, the display unit provided in the vehicle; and a control unit (see Fig. 2B of US/5,763,882, which is based on application 08/232,893, which is incorporated by reference into disclosure of Salvio

Art Unit: 2835

(column 2, lines 45-50) and thus, is part of the disclosure of Salvio patent (see MPEP 2163.07 (b)) for processing the image, <u>but did not</u> disclose that said a portion of the image pick-up unit extending beyond the grille so as to pick-up images from the left and right sides of the vehicle, and a warning unit coupled to the control unit and transmitting a warning signal to a user of another vehicle.

Furuta disclosed (Fig. 1, 7) a vehicular image pick-pup system comprising: the image pick-up unit (30) extending beyond the grille so as to pick-up the image from the left (C) and right (B) sides of a vehicle.

Since inventions of Salvio and of Furuta are from the same field of endeavor (vehicular safety systems), the purpose of the image pick-up unit extending beyond the grille so as to pick-up images from the lateral sides of the vehicle, as taught by Furuta, would be recognized in the invention of Salvio.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time the invention was made to position the image pick-up unit of Salvio at least partially beyond the grille, as taught by Furuta, in order to enable said image pick-up unit to capture images from the lateral sides of the vehicle, in order to provide better information to the driver about traffic patterns around the vehicle and to augment general safety level provided by the system.

Simpkin disclosed a vehicular warning system (see Fig.) comprising: a warning unit (10) transmitting a warning signal to a user of another vehicle (via receiver (12)).

Since inventions of Salvio and of Simpkin are from the same field of endeavor (vehicular safety systems), the purpose of the warning unit, which transmits warning signal disclosed by Simpkin, would be recognized in the invention of Salvio.

Art Unit: 2835

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time the invention was made to supplement the apparatus of Salvio with the transmitting warning system of Simpkin in order to provide notification to the user of another vehicle about approaching vehicle.

Regarding claim 3, Simpkin disclosed a voice generating unit (52).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2835

Page 5

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anatoly Vortman whose telephone number is 571-272-2047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, between 10:00 am and 6:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Lynn Feild can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anatoly Vortman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835

A. leel